

# San Francisco to warn consumers about cell radiation levels

By **John D. Sutter**, CNN

Filed under: [Mobile](#)

Different cell phones emit different levels of radiation, although it's not clear what health risks they pose.

## STORY HIGHLIGHTS

- San Francisco passes a law on cell phone radiation disclosure
- The city will require retailers to post information about radiation levels
- Proponents say the law promotes information and consumer choice
- Wireless industry says it's misleading, there is no consensus on health risks

## RELATED TOPICS

- [Cellular Phones](#)
- [Consumer Electronics](#)
- [Gavin Newsom](#)

**(CNN)** -- San Francisco, California, likely will become the first U.S. city to require cell phone companies to disclose how much radiation their gadgets emit.

The city's board of supervisors voted 10-1 on Tuesday in favor of a law that would require handset makers to post in stores how much wireless radiation their phones give off,

[according to the San Francisco Chronicle](#).

Mayor [Gavin Newsom](#) is expected to sign the measure into law.

The city says consumers can use the information to differentiate between cell phones that emit relatively low and high levels of radiation.

Some researchers link those emissions to cancer and brain tumors, although there is no scientific consensus on the matter.

The [New York Times](#) [compared](#) San Francisco's mobile phone law to those that require food makers to reveal trans fat levels.

"It's information that's out there if you're willing to look hard enough," Tony Winnicker, a spokesman for the mayor, told the Times. "And we think that for the consumer for whom this is an area of concern, it ought to be easier to find."

The mobile phone industry opposes the new law, saying that science has shown cell phone radiation is not harmful to people.

"Rather than inform, the ordinance will potentially mislead consumers with point-of-sale requirements suggesting that some phones are 'safer' than others, based on radio frequency emissions," John Walls, a spokesman for the [Cellular Telecommunications and Internet Association](#) (CTIA), told The Chronicle.

A [landmark study](#) on the potential health effects of cell phone radiation found no conclusive evidence that the emissions are harmful or that they are safe.

The U.S. Federal Communications Commission says cell phones must not have a "[specific absorption rate](#)" of more than 1.6 watts per kilogram. That's a measure of "the amount of radio frequency energy absorbed by the body when using a mobile phone," according to the FCC. Information about radio frequency emissions from cell phones already is [available online](#). The San Francisco law would put that information in front of customers in stores and would require mobile phone retailers to provide additional safety-related information to consumers.

# Cell phone sellers must state radiation in San Francisco

By Elizabeth Landau

CNN.com Health Writer/Producer

Stores in San Francisco, California, must provide information how much radiation gets emitted by the phones they sell, according to a law that the Board of Supervisors approved on Tuesday. The rule, expected to gain final approval next week, would go into effect in February 2011. This makes San Francisco the first city to enact such a policy.

This announcement comes in the wake of [controversy over results](#) from the large Interphone study, sponsored by the World Health Organization, that looked at a possible link between cell phones and brain cancer.

[Read more about it from CNN.com](#)

**Editor's Note:** *Medical news is a popular but sensitive subject rooted in science. We receive many comments on this blog each day; not all are posted. Our hope is that much will be learned from the sharing of useful information and personal experiences based on the medical and health topics of the blog. We encourage you to focus your comments on those medical and health topics and we appreciate your input. Thank you for your participation.*

Posted by: [Elizabeth Landau - CNN.com Health Writer/Producer](#)